

Appendix 1 Sample Countries and Data Availability (COP)

Number	Country	Sample Countries for Estimation		Data Availability	
		Group A	Group B	YRP	COP
Developed					
1	Australia	⊙	⊙	○	○
2	Austria	⊙	⊙	○	○
3	Belgium	⊙	⊙	○	○
4	Canada	⊙	⊙	○	○
5	Cyprus	⊙	⊙	○	○
6	Denmark	⊙	⊙	○	○
7	Finland	⊙	⊙	○	○
8	France	⊙	⊙	○	○
9	Germany	⊙	⊙	○	○
10	Greece	⊙	⊙	○	○
11	Iceland	⊙	⊙	○	○
12	Ireland	⊙	⊙	○	○
13	Italy	⊙	⊙	○	○
14	Japan	⊙	⊙	○	○
15	Luxembourg	⊙	⊙	○	○
16	Malta	⊙	⊙	○	○
17	Netherlands	⊙	⊙	○	○
18	New Zealand	⊙	⊙	○	○
19	Norway	⊙	⊙	○	○
20	Portugal	⊙	⊙	○	○
21	Slovenia	⊙	—	△	△
22	Spain	⊙	⊙	○	○
23	Sweden	⊙	⊙	○	○
24	Switzerland	⊙	⊙	○	○
25	United Kingdom	⊙	⊙	○	○
26	United States	⊙	⊙	○	○
Developing					
27	Afghanistan	⊙	⊙	○	○
28	Albania	⊙	—	△	○
29	Algeria	⊙	⊙	○	○
30	Angola	⊙	—	△	○
31	Antigua	⊙	⊙	○	○
32	Argentina	⊙	⊙	○	○
33	Armenia	⊙	—	△	△
34	Azerbaijan	⊙	—	△	△
35	Bahamas	⊙	⊙	○	○
36	Bahrain	⊙	⊙	○	○
37	Bangladesh	⊙	—	△	△
38	Barbados	⊙	⊙	○	○
39	Belarus	⊙	—	△	△
40	Belize	⊙	⊙	○	○
41	Benin	⊙	⊙	○	○
42	Bermuda	⊙	⊙	○	○
43	Bhutan	⊙	⊙	○	○
44	Bolivia	⊙	⊙	○	○
45	Bosnia	⊙	—	△	△
46	Botswana	⊙	—	○	△
47	Brazil	⊙	⊙	○	○
48	Brunei	⊙	⊙	○	○
49	Bulgaria	⊙	—	△	○
50	Burkina Faso	⊙	⊙	○	○
51	Burundi	⊙	⊙	○	○
52	Cambodia	⊙	⊙	○	○
53	Cameroon	⊙	⊙	○	○
54	Cape Verde	⊙	⊙	○	○
55	Central African Republic	⊙	⊙	○	○
56	Chad	⊙	⊙	○	○
57	Chile	⊙	⊙	○	○
58	China	⊙	⊙	○	○
59	Colombia	⊙	⊙	○	○
60	Comoros	⊙	⊙	○	○
61	Congo, Dem. Rep.	⊙	⊙	○	○
62	Congo, Republic	⊙	⊙	○	○
63	Costa Rica	⊙	⊙	○	○
64	Cote d'Ivoire	⊙	⊙	○	○
65	Croatia	⊙	—	△	△

Number	Country	Sample Countries for Estimation		Data Availability	
		Group A	Group B	YRP	COP
66	Cuba	⊙	⊙	○	○
67	Czech Republic	⊙	—	△	△
68	Djibouti	⊙	—	△	○
69	Dominica	⊙	⊙	○	○
70	Dominican Republic	⊙	⊙	○	○
71	Ecuador	⊙	⊙	○	○
72	Egypt	⊙	⊙	○	○
73	El Salvador	⊙	⊙	○	○
74	Equatorial Guinea	⊙	⊙	○	○
75	Eritrea	⊙	—	△	△
76	Estonia	⊙	—	△	△
77	Ethiopia	⊙	⊙	○	○
78	Fiji	⊙	⊙	○	○
79	Gabon	⊙	⊙	○	○
80	Gambia	⊙	⊙	○	○
81	Georgia	⊙	—	△	△
82	Ghana	⊙	⊙	○	○
83	Grenada	⊙	⊙	○	○
84	Guatemala	⊙	⊙	○	○
85	Guinea	⊙	⊙	○	○
86	Guinea-Bissau	⊙	⊙	○	○
87	Guyana	⊙	—	△	○
88	Haiti	⊙	—	△	○
89	Honduras	⊙	⊙	○	○
90	Hong Kong	⊙	⊙	○	○
91	Hungary	⊙	⊙	○	○
92	India	⊙	⊙	○	○
93	Indonesia	⊙	⊙	○	○
94	Iran	⊙	⊙	○	○
95	Iraq	⊙	⊙	○	○
96	Israel	⊙	⊙	○	○
97	Jamaica	⊙	⊙	○	○
98	Jordan	⊙	⊙	○	○
99	Kazakhstan	⊙	—	△	△
100	Kenya	⊙	⊙	○	○
101	Kiribati	⊙	⊙	○	○
102	Korea, Dem. Rep.	⊙	⊙	○	○
103	Korea, Republic	⊙	⊙	○	○
104	Kuwait	⊙	⊙	○	○
105	Kyrgyzstan	⊙	—	△	△
106	Laos	—	—	○	x
107	Latvia	⊙	—	△	△
108	Lebanon	⊙	—	△	○
109	Lesotho	—	—	○	x
110	Liberia	⊙	⊙	○	○
111	Libya	⊙	—	△	○
112	Lithuania	⊙	—	△	△
113	Macao	⊙	⊙	○	○
114	Macedonia	⊙	—	△	△
115	Madagascar	⊙	⊙	○	○
116	Malawi	⊙	⊙	○	○
117	Malaysia	⊙	⊙	○	○
118	Maldives	⊙	—	○	△
119	Mali	⊙	⊙	○	○
120	Mauritania	⊙	⊙	○	○
121	Mauritius	⊙	⊙	○	○
122	Mexico	⊙	⊙	○	○
123	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	—	—	○	x
124	Moldova	⊙	—	△	△
125	Mongolia	⊙	⊙	○	○
126	Morocco	⊙	⊙	○	○
127	Mozambique	⊙	⊙	○	○
128	Namibia	⊙	—	○	△
129	Nepal	⊙	⊙	○	○
130	Netherlands Antilles	⊙	—	○	△
131	Nicaragua	⊙	⊙	○	○
132	Niger	⊙	⊙	○	○
133	Nigeria	⊙	⊙	○	○
134	Oman	⊙	⊙	○	○

Number	Country	Sample Countries for Estimation		Data Availability	
		Group A	Group B	YRP	COP
135	Pakistan	⊙	—	○	△
136	Palau	⊙	—	△	△
137	Panama	⊙	⊙	○	○
138	Papua New Guinea	⊙	⊙	○	○
139	Paraguay	⊙	⊙	○	○
140	Peru	⊙	⊙	○	○
141	Philippines	⊙	⊙	○	○
142	Poland	⊙	⊙	○	○
143	Puerto Rico	—	—	○	x
144	Qatar	⊙	⊙	○	○
145	Romania	⊙	⊙	○	○
146	Russia	⊙	—	△	△
147	Rwanda	⊙	⊙	○	○
148	Samoa	⊙	⊙	○	○
149	Sao Tome and Principe	⊙	⊙	○	○
150	Saudi Arabia	⊙	⊙	○	○
151	Senegal	⊙	⊙	○	○
152	Serbia and Montenegro	—	—	△	x
153	Seychelles	⊙	—	△	○
154	Sierra Leone	⊙	⊙	○	○
155	Singapore	⊙	⊙	○	○
156	Slovak Republic	⊙	—	△	△
157	Solomon Islands	⊙	⊙	○	○
158	Somalia	⊙	—	○	△
159	South Africa	⊙	⊙	○	○
160	Sri Lanka	⊙	⊙	○	○
161	St. Kitts & Nevis	⊙	—	○	△
162	St. Lucia	⊙	⊙	○	○
163	St. Vincent & Grenadines	⊙	⊙	○	○
164	Sudan	⊙	⊙	○	○
165	Suriname	⊙	⊙	○	○
166	Swaziland	⊙	⊙	○	○
167	Syria	⊙	⊙	○	○
168	Taiwan	⊙	⊙	○	○
169	Tajikistan	⊙	—	△	△
170	Tanzania	⊙	⊙	○	○
171	Thailand	⊙	⊙	○	○
172	Togo	⊙	⊙	○	○
173	Tonga	⊙	⊙	○	○
174	Trinidad & Tobago	⊙	⊙	○	○
175	Tunisia	⊙	⊙	○	○
176	Turkey	⊙	⊙	○	○
177	Turkmenistan	⊙	—	△	△
178	Uganda	⊙	⊙	○	○
179	Ukraine	⊙	—	△	△
180	United Arab Emirates	⊙	⊙	○	○
181	Uruguay	⊙	⊙	○	○
182	Uzbekistan	⊙	—	△	△
183	Vanuatu	⊙	⊙	○	○
184	Venezuela	⊙	⊙	○	○
185	Vietnam	⊙	—	△	○
186	Yemen	⊙	—	△	△
187	Zambia	⊙	⊙	○	○
188	Zimbabwe	⊙	⊙	○	○
Total		183	141	—	—

Note

1. Sample Countries for Estimation

Group A : Countries that have at least some available data in both YRP and COP for a certain year.

Group B : Countries that have fully available data in both YRP and COP for the period from 1960 to 1990.

2. Data Availability

○ : Countries that have fully available data for the period from 1970 to 2003.

△ : Countries that have at least some available data.

x : Countries that do not have any available data.

References

- Babiker, Mustafa H. 2005. "Climate Change Policy, Market Structure, and Carbon Leakage." *Journal of International Economics* 65: 421-445.
- Borghesi, Simone. 1999. "The Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Survey of the Literature." European University Institute, Florence. Available online at <http://www.Feem.It/web/activ/wp/abs99/85-99.pdf>. Processed.
- Cole, M.A. 2004. "Trade, the Pollution-haven Hypothesis and the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Examining the Linkages." *Ecological Economics* 48,1: 71-81.
- Dasgupta, Susmita, Benoit Laplante, Hua Wang, and David Wheeler. 2002. "Confronting the Environmental Kuznets Curve." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 16(1):147-68.
- De Bruyn, S. M., J. C. J. M. van den Bergh and J. B. Opschoor. 1998. "Economic Growth and Emissions: Reconsidering the Empirical Basis of 166 Journal of Economic Perspectives Environmental Kuznets Curves." *Ecological Economics* 25:2, pp. 161-75.
- Gerschenkron, A. 1962. *Economic Backwardness in Historical Perspective*. Harvard University Press.
- Grossman, G., and A. Krueger. 1993. "Environmental Impacts of the North American Free Trade Agreement," in *The U.S.-Mexico Free Trade Agreement*. P. Garber, ed. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 13-56.
- . 1995. "Economic Growth and the Environment." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112:353–378.
- Hausman, J. A. 1978. "Specification Test in Econometrics." *Econometrica* 46(6).
- Heston Alan, Robert Summers and Bettina Aten. 2006. *Penn World Table Version 6.2*, Center for International Comparisons at the University of Pennsylvania (CICUP). < <http://datacentre.chass.utoronto.ca/pwt/index.html>>(May 2008).
- Irie, Y., Y. Kobayashi, and T. Morita. 2000. *Policy Analysis on Alternative Development Paths Based on the Environmental Kuznets Curve—Empirical Proof Using Time Serial Data on SO₂ and Simulation Analysis*. Breakaway session at the 2000 meeting of Society for Environmental Economics and Policy Studies, 30 September, at Tsukuba International Conference Room, Japan.
- Iwami, T. 2001. "Advantage of Latecomer in Abating Air-Pollution: Experience in East Asia." CIRJE-J-64, Discussion Paper Series, Faculty of Economics, University of Tokyo.
- Lefohn A.S., J.D.Husar, and R.B.Husar. 1999. "Estimating Historical Anthropogenic Global Sulfur Emission Patterns for the Period 1850-1990." *Atmospheric Environment* < <http://www.asl-associates.com/sulfur1.htm>> (May 2004).
- Lopez, R. 1994. "The Environment as a Factor of Production: The Effects of Economic Growth and Trade Liberalization." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 27:2, pp. 163-84.
- Marland, G., T.A. Boden, and R. J. Andres. 2008. "Global, Regional, and National Fossil Fuel CO₂ Emissions," In *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn.,

- U.S.A. <http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em_cont.htm> (September 2008).
- Martin, P. and D. Wheeler. 1992. "Prices, Policies, and the International Diffusion of Clean Technology: The Case of Wood Pulp Production," in *International Trade and the Environment*. Patrick Low, ed. Washington, D.C.: World Bank, pp.197-224.
- Matsuoka, S., R. Matsumoto, I. Kawauchi, and M. Iwase. 2000. "The Capacity Building of Environmental Management in East Asia." In *Viewpoint to East Asia* 11-4: 92-105.
- Nahman, A. and G. Antrobus. 2005. "The Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Literature Survey." *South African Journal of Economics* Vol. 73:1.
- Panayotou, T. 1997. "Demystifying the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Turning a Black Box into a Policy Tool." *Environment and Development Economics* 104:6, pp.1314-27.
- Rothman, D.S. 1998. "Environmental Kuznets Curves – Real Progress or Passing the Buck? A Case for Consumption-based Approaches." *Ecological Economics* 25:177-194.
- Selden, T.M. and D. Song. 1994. "Environmental Quality and Development: Is There a Kuznets Curve for Air Pollution Emissions?" *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 27: 147-162.
- Shafik, N. 1994. "Economic Development and Environmental Quality: An Econometric Analysis." *Oxford Economic Papers* 46, Supplement: 757-773.
- Stern, D.I. 1998. "Progress on the environmental Kuznets curve?" *Environment and Development Economics* 3: 173-196.
- Stokey, N. L. 1998. "Are There Limits to Growth?" *International Economic Review* 39: 1-31.
- Suri, V. and d. Chapman. 1998. "Economic Growth, Trade and Energy: Implications for the Environmental Kuznets Curve." *Ecological Economics* 25:195-205.
- World Bank. 1992. "Development and the Environment." *World Development Report*. Washington, D.C.: Oxford University Press.
- Yaguchi, Y., T. Sonobe and K. Otsuka. 2007. "Beyond the Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Comparative Study of SO₂ and CO₂ Emissions Between Japan and China." *Environment and Development Economics* 12: 445-470.